• If $X(t) \xleftarrow{} X(\omega)$, then

$$X(t) \xleftarrow{} 2\pi x(-\omega)$$

- This is known as the duality property of the Fourier transform.
- This property follows from the high degree of symmetry in the forward and inverse Fourier transform equations, which are respectively given by

$$X(\lambda) = (\sum_{\infty-1}^{\infty} x(\theta) e^{-j\theta\lambda} d\theta \text{ and } x(\lambda) = (\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\infty-1}^{\infty} X(\theta) e^{j\theta\lambda} d\theta.$$

- That is, the forward and inverse Fourier transform equations are identical except for a *factor of* 2π and *different sign* in the parameter for the exponential function.
- Although the relationship $X(t) \leftarrow \stackrel{\text{CTFT}}{\to} X(\omega)$ only directly provides us with the Fourier transform of X(t), the duality property allows us to indirectly infer the Fourier transform of X(t). Consequently, the duality property can be used to effectively *double* the number of Fourier transform pairs that we know.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

• If $X_1(t) \xleftarrow{}{CTFT} X_1(\omega)$ and $X_2(t) \xleftarrow{}{CTFT} X_2(\omega)$, then

$$X_1 * X_2(t) \xleftarrow{}{} X_1(\omega) X_2(\omega)$$

- This is known as the convolution (or time-domain convolution) property of the Fourier transform.
- In other words, a convolution in the time domain becomes a multiplication in the frequency domain.
- This suggests that the Fourier transform can be used to avoid having to deal with convolution operations.

<ロト < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\neg \land \land \land$

• If
$$X_1(t) \xleftarrow{}{\leftarrow}{\rightarrow} X_1(\omega)$$
 and $X_2(t) \xleftarrow{}{\leftarrow}{\rightarrow} X_2(\omega)$, then
 $X_1(t) X_2(t) \xleftarrow{}{\leftarrow}{\rightarrow} \frac{-1}{2\pi} X_1 * X_2(\omega = (\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\infty}^{0} X_1(\theta) X_2(\omega - \theta) d\theta.$

- This is known as the multiplication (or frequency-domain convolution) property of the Fourier transform.
- In other words, multiplication in the time domain becomes convolution in the frequency domain (up to a scale factor of 2π .(
- Do not forget the factor of $\frac{1}{2\pi}$ in the above formula!
- This property of the Fourier transform is often tedious to apply (in the forward direction) as it turns a multiplication into a convolution.

<ロト < 部 > < 語 > < 語 > < 語 > < 語</p>

 $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$

• If
$$X(t) \xleftarrow{}{} X(\omega)$$
, then

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} \stackrel{\text{CTFT}}{\to} -j\omega X(\omega)$$

- This is known as the differentiation property of the Fourier transform.
- Differentiation in the time domain becomes multiplication by $j\omega$ in the frequency domain.
- Of course, by repeated application of the above property, we have that $\frac{d}{dt} \bigwedge^{n} X(t) \xrightarrow{CTFT} (j\omega) \bigwedge^{n} X(\omega)$.
- The above suggests that the Fourier transform might be a useful tool when working with differential (or integro-differential) equations.

< ロ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > <

玊

500

• If $X(t) \xleftarrow{} X(\omega)$, then

$$tx(t) \xleftarrow{}{} j \frac{d}{d\omega} X(\omega).$$

• This is known as the frequency-domain differentiation property of the Fourier transform.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

 $\mathcal{O}\mathcal{O}$

• If
$$X(t) \xleftarrow{\text{CTFT}} X(\omega)$$
, then

$$\begin{cases} t \\ t \\ \\ \infty - \end{array} X(T) dT \rightarrow \xleftarrow{\text{CTFT}} \frac{1}{j\omega} X(\omega) + \pi X(0) \delta(\omega.(\omega)) dT dT) dT dT dT dT dT$$

• This is known as the integration property of the Fourier transform.

- Whereas differentiation in the time domain corresponds to *multiplication* by $j\omega$ in the frequency domain, integration in the time domain is associated with *division* by $j\omega$ in the frequency domain.
- Since integration in the time domain becomes division by $f\omega$ in the frequency domain, integration can be easier to handle in the frequency domain.
- The above property suggests that the Fourier transform might be a useful tool when working with integral (or integro-differential) equations.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 三 > < 三 > <

3

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

• Recall that the energy of a signal X is given by $\int_{\infty}^{\infty} |x(t)|^2 dt$. • If $x(t) \leftarrow X(\omega)$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |x(t)|^{2} dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^{n} |X(\omega)|^{2} d\omega$$

)i.e., the energy of X and energy of X are equal up to a factor of 2π).

- This relationship is known as Parseval's relation.
- Since energy is often a quantity of great significance in engineering applications, it is extremely helpful to know that the Fourier transform *preserves energy* (up to a scale factor.(

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

- For a signal X with Fourier transform X, the following assertions hold: X is even $\Leftrightarrow X$ is even; and X is odd $\Leftrightarrow X$ is odd.
- In other words, the forward and inverse Fourier transforms preserve even/odd symmetry.

<ロ><()</p>

 $\mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}$

• A signal X is real if and only if its Fourier transform X satisfies

 $X(\omega) = X^*(-\omega)$ for all ω

(i.e., X has conjugate symmetry).

- Thus, for a real-valued signal, the portion of the graph of a Fourier transform for negative values of frequency ω is *redundant*, as it is completely determined by symmetry.
- From properties of complex numbers, one can show that $X(\omega) = X^*(-\omega)$ is equivalent to

 $|X(\omega)| = |X(-\omega)|$ and $\arg X(\omega) = -\arg X(-\omega)$

(i.e., $|X(\omega)|$ is *even* and arg $X(\omega)$ is *odd*).

• Note that X being real does *not* necessarily imply that X is real.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ シタの

- The Fourier transform can be generalized to also handle periodic signals.
- Consider a periodic signal X with period T and frequency $\omega_0 = 2\pi \frac{2\pi}{\tau}$
- Define the signal X7 as

$$x_{T}(t) = \begin{cases} x(t) & \text{for } -\frac{T}{2} \le t < \frac{T}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(i.e., $X_T(t)$ is equal to x(t) over a single period and zero elsewhere).

- Let a denote the Fourier series coefficient sequence of X.
- Let X and X_7 denote the Fourier transforms of X and X_7 , respectively.
- The following relationships can be shown to hold:

$$X(\omega = \left(\sum_{k^{\infty}-1}^{\infty} \omega_0 X_T(k\omega_0) \delta(\omega - k\omega_0) - k\omega_0\right)$$
$$a_k = \frac{1}{T} X_T(k\omega_0), \text{ and } X(\omega = \left(\sum_{k^{\infty}-1}^{\infty} 2\pi a_k \delta(\omega - k\omega_0)\right)$$

- The Fourier series coefficient sequence a_k is produced by sampling X_T at integer multiples of the fundamental frequency ω_0 and scaling the resulting sequence by $\frac{1}{T}$.
- The Fourier transform of a periodic signal can only be nonzero at integer multiples of the fundamental frequency.

Ξ.

 $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$

-∢ ⊒ ▶

Section 5.4

Fourier Transform and Frequency Spectra of Signals

Version: 2016-01-25

< □ ▶

▶ < Ē ▶ -

590

- Like Fourier series, the Fourier transform also provides us with a frequency-domain perspective on signals.
- That is, instead of viewing a signal as having information distributed with respect to *time*(i.e., a function whose domain is time), we view a signal as having information distributed with respect to *frequency*(i.e., a function whose domain is frequency.(
- The Fourier transform of a signal X provides a means to *quantify* how much information X has at different frequencies.
- The distribution of information in a signal over different frequencies is referred to as the *frequency spectrum* of the signal.

<ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト = 三目

 $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{O}$

• To gain further insight into the role played by the Fourier transform X in the context of the frequency spectrum of x, it is helpful to write the Fourier transform representation of x with $X(\omega)$ expressed in *polar form* as follows:

$$x(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X(\omega) e^{j\omega t} d\omega = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |X(\omega)| e^{j(\omega t + \arg X(\omega))} d\omega.$$

- In effect, the quantity $|X(\omega)|$ is a *weight* that determines how much the complex sinusoid at frequency ω contributes to the integration result X.
- Perhaps, this can be more easily seen if we express the above integral as the *limit of a sum*, derived from an approximation of the integral using the area of rectangles, as shown on the next slide. [Recall that $\int_{\infty}^{\infty} f(x) dx = \lim_{\Delta x \to 0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta x f(k\Delta x].$

▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□▶▲□

• Expressing the integral (from the previous slide) as the *limit of a sum*, we obtain

$$x(t) = \lim_{\Delta\omega\to 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \Delta\omega^{1} \chi(\omega')^{1} e^{t/[\omega' t + \arg \chi(\omega')]},$$

where $\omega' = k \Delta \omega$.

- In the above equation, the *k*th term in the summation corresponds to a complex sinusoid with fundamental frequency $\omega' = k\Delta\omega$ that has had its *amplitude scaled* by a factor of $|X(\omega')|$ and has been *time shifted* by an amount that depends on $\arg X(\omega.('))$
- For a given $\omega' = k\Delta\omega$ (which is associated with the *k*th term in the summation), the larger $|X(\omega')|$ is, the larger the amplitude of its corresponding complex sinusoid $\Theta'^{\omega' t}$ will be, and therefore the larger the contribution the *k*th term will make to the overall summation.
- In this way, we can use $|X(\omega')|$ as a *measure* of how much information a signal X has at the frequency ω .